

Warm-up exercises:

Black-body radiation (Warm-up I): Show that the observed momentum of a photon emitted by black-body radiation is proportional to the temperature of the radiation.

Photon redshifting in an expanding universe (Warm-up II): Show that the momentum of a photon p in an expanding FRW universe redshifts as $p \propto a^{-1}$ (this is purely a background calculation, so no perturbations are needed)

The metric potential and ISW I: In class we discussed the ISW effect. Here we want to better understand some of the associated phenomenology. Consider the equations

$$\begin{aligned}\ddot{\delta} + 2H\dot{\delta} - \frac{3}{2}\Omega_m H^2 \delta &= 0 \\ k^2 \Phi &= 4\pi G a^2 \rho_m \delta\end{aligned}$$

Solve the first equation in an expanding FRW universe (computing the density perturbation $\delta(a)$ and $\delta(t)$) for the cases of matter-domination ($\Omega_m \sim 1$) and Λ -domination ($\Omega_m \ll 1$). When do perturbations grow and how quickly? How does the gravitational potential Φ evolve in both cases? Does it grow/decay/stay constant with time? What does this mean for the ISW term we computed? Will there be a negative or a positive correlation between observed hot spots in the CMB with observed (foreground) galaxies? (think about CMB photons entering and leaving the gravitational well of a given galaxy on the way to our detectors)

The metric potential and ISW II (optional): What changes, if (during Λ -domination) the above Poisson equation is modified to

$$k^2 \Phi = 4\pi G a^2 \mu(a) \rho_m \delta,$$

where $\mu = 1 + \Omega_\Lambda a^2$? Assume the solution for δ is as before. Qualitatively, how does Φ evolve now? (Consider late times $t \rightarrow \infty$) How does this affect the ISW term? How about the above CMB-galaxy correlations?

Perturbative connection coefficients: For the metric

$$ds^2 = a^2(\tau) \left[-(1 + 2\Phi)d\tau^2 + (1 - 2\Psi)\delta_{ij}dx^i dx^j \right]$$

compute the connection coefficients Γ_{00}^0 , Γ_{i0}^0 and Γ_{ij}^0 up to linear order in the potentials Φ , Ψ . Use this to verify the following relation (which we used in calculating perturbed photon geodesics in class)

$$\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^0 \frac{P^\mu P^\nu}{p^2} (1 + 2\Phi) = -2\mathcal{H} + \dot{\Psi} - \dot{\Phi} - 2\hat{p}^i \partial_i \Phi,$$

where a dot denotes a derivative wrt. conformal time τ and $\partial_i \equiv \partial/\partial x^i$.

Baryon loading: Here we want to understand the effect of baryons on the CMB spectrum better. We have the following evolution equation for Θ :

$$c_s^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} (c_s^{-2} \dot{\Theta}) + c_s^2 k^2 \Theta = -\frac{k^2}{3} \Psi$$

and assume Φ, Ψ, R are all constant (notation as in the class) and $c_s^2 = 1/(3 + 3R)$. Can you re-phrase this in the form $\ddot{X} + c_s^2 k^2 X = 0$? What is X ? Write down the equation of motion for the position of a mass m attached to a spring with spring constant k in a constant gravitational field. How does the amplitude and zero point of the oscillations shift, when the mass changes? What does this mean for the effect of baryons on Θ ?

The effect of damping: In the class we considered an evolution equation for the photon density perturbation in a photon-baryon fluid. The friction term in that equation leads to a damping of oscillations. To better understand how this works, consider the damped harmonic oscillator

$$m\ddot{x} + b\dot{x} + kx = 0.$$

Solve this when $k/m > b^2/(4m^2)$. What is the frequency of oscillations? How does the solution differ from the $b = 0$ case? What else changes when $b \neq 0$, apart from the frequency? What does this imply for the effect of the friction term for the photon density perturbation?